

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

2

The Minutes

September 4, 2002, continued

- C034256 BANK OF SAN PEDRO v. SCHUETTE (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed. National, as assignee of Bank of San Pedro, shall recover costs on appeal.
RAYE, J.
We concur: Scotland, P.J.
 Callahan, J.
- C036947 RIEDEL v. CAMPOS-RIEDEL (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed. Respondent is awarded his costs on appeal.
(Rule 26 (a).)
BLEASE, Acting P.J.
We concur: Nicholson, J.
 Hull, J.
- C038139 PRENATT v. G.W. WILLIAMS CO. et al. (Not for Publication)**
The judgment of dismissal is affirmed. The motion for sanctions is denied.
CALLAHAN, J.
We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.
 Nicholson, J.
- C040388 In re J.S. et al.; SACRAMENTO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES v. C.M. (Not for Publication)**
The orders of the juvenile court are affirmed.
RAYE, J.
We concur: Nicholson, Acting P.J.
 Hull, J.

September 5, 2002

- C039339 THE PEOPLE v. WRIGHT (Not for Publication)**
The judgment is affirmed.
DAVIS, Acting P.J.
We concur: Hull, J.
 Kolkey, J.

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

3

The Minutes

September 6, 2002

C037767 THE PEOPLE v. OLSEN (Not for Publication)
C038141 The judgment is modified in the following respects:

Case No. 99F09199: Pursuant to Penal Code section 1464, a \$150 penalty assessment is imposed with regard to the \$150 drug program fee imposed pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11372.7. We also impose a \$105 penalty assessment pursuant to Government Code section 76000.

Case No. 00F05368: We impose a \$50 laboratory analysis fee pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11372.5, along with a \$50 penalty assessment pursuant to Penal Code section 1464 and a \$35 penalty assessment pursuant to Government Code section 76000.

In addition, we note that the current abstract incorrectly states that in case No. 00F05368 the court ordered defendant to register as a "sex offender" rather than as a "narcotics offender."

As modified, the judgment is affirmed. The trial court shall prepare a new abstract of judgment that accurately reflects the modifications and the correct registration requirement. A copy of the new abstract shall be sent to the Department of Corrections.

 DAVIS, Acting P.J.
We concur: Nicholson, J.
 Morrison, J.

C040627 THE PEOPLE v. HAIRSTON (Not for Publication)
The judgment is affirmed.
 CALLAHAN, J.
We concur: Scotland, P.J.
 Nicholson, J.

C040903 THE PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (Not for Publication)
The judgment is affirmed.
 CALLAHAN, J.
We concur: Scotland, P.J.
 Nicholson, J.

**COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT**

4

The Minutes

September 6, 2002, continued

C039408 PETIET v. KIRKWOOD MOUNTAIN RESORT et al.

(Not for Publication)

The judgment of dismissal is reversed, and the case is remanded with instructions to vacate the order sustaining the demurrer and to enter a new order overruling the demurrer. Costs on appeal are awarded to Petiet.

NICHOLSON, J.

We concur: Davis, Acting P.J.
 Callahan, J.